

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/326,405	/326,405 06/04/1999		DAVE B. LUNDAHL	363-01	4135	
27479	7590	02/14/2006		EXAMINER		
		D & YOUNG LLO	A, PHI DIEU TRAN			
2026 CARIBOU DR SUITE 200				ART UNIT PAPER NUMI		
FORT COLLINS, CO 80525				3637		

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/326,405	-		EXAMINER		
			ART UNIT	020806	
				020000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

The reply brief filed 11/14/05 has been entered and considered. The application has been forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal.

Phi Dieu Tran A

2/8/06

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 IN THE UNITED STATE.

Application of: Dave B. Lundahl

Serial No.: 09/326 407 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner: Tran A, Phi Dieu N

Group Art Unit: 3637

Filed: June 4, 1999

Docket: INOV.01US01

Title: IMPROVED WINDOW SCREEN SYSTEM

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents **Assistant Commissioner for Patents** P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY BRIEF

Honorable Board of Appeals:

Appellant responds to the Supplemental Examiner's Answer dated September 15, 2005 as follows:

1. In Section 10, paragraph 2, of the Supplemental Examiner's Answer, the Examiner combined Kehne (U.S. Patent No. 2,713,896) with Lazarek (U.S. Patent No. 3,753,458) in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). In Section 2141.02 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Differences Between Prior Art and Claimed Invention, the paragraph entitled "Prior Art Must Be Considered In Its Entirety, Including Disclosures That Teach Away From The Claims" states that: "A prior art reference must be considered in its entirety, i.e. as a whole including portions that would lead away from the claimed invention." Moreover, in Section 2145 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, the paragraph entitled "References Cannot Be Combined Where Reference Teaches Away from Their Combination" states that: "It is improper to combine references where the references teach away from their combination." In addition